United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,442	05/15/2006	Masatada Numano	050395-0373	7856	
20277 MCDERMOT	7590 06/29/2007 T WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER		
600 13TH STF	REET, N.W.		LIN, ING HOUR		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1725		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		06/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/579,442	NUMANO ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ing-Hour Lin	1725					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•							
<u> </u>	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006 and 20 March 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 9 and 14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	vn from consideration. r election requirement. X accepted or b) □ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 Cl	•				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/15/06, 3/23/07 & 3/30/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate´.					

Application/Control Number: 10/579,442

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 9 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/579,442

Art Unit: 1725

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Li et al (US 6,173,755) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Li et al (US 6,173,755) in view of Fiel et al.

Li et al (col. 2, lines 50+) teach the claimed casting nozzle 20 or 120 for transferring molten metal such as aluminum alloy from a tundish 11 or 111 into a moveable mold (continuous slab caster 10, 110) including dual or twin belt horizontal slab casters and the like or with roll casters (col. 6, lines 62+) and the claimed casting method by using the nozzle for producing an aluminum alloy slab shown in Fig. 10 having extremely smooth with minimal liquation and other defects such as laps, ripples and coldshuts, wherein the casting nozzle is attached with an intermediate layer liner 26, 126 for preventing unwanted gap or interlace in order to prevent backflow of molten metal; and with a top layer liner of graphite 27, 127 in the form of flexible foil having a thickness of 0.01 inch (0.254 mm) solid under the name GRAFOIL. Further, based on the quality of cast aluminum alloy. It is reasonable to say the graphite having physical properties such as high strength, heat conductivity and density for the purpose of reducing friction between the nozzle and moveable mold.

Next, if Li et al fail to teach the use of high strength graphite such as isotropic graphite having the particular claimed values of physical properties such as high strength, heat conductivity, and density. However, Fiel et al (col. 2, lines 37+) teach the use of thin high strength graphite sheet of isotropic graphite having the claimed particular values of physical

Art Unit: 1725

properties such as high strength, heat conductivity, and density in making protective liners for the purpose of promoting wear resistance of the protective liner. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Li et al the use of isotropic graphite for a protective liner as taught by Fiel et al in order to enhance the wear resistance property of the casting nozzle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

& Jex

I.-H. Lin

6/20/07

Jonathan Johnson SPE A 4 1725